## Impact of CF<sub>4</sub> Plasma Treatment on DC Performance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/InAlN/GaN MOS-HEMTs

Zongyang Hu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yuanzheng Yue<sup>1</sup>, Mingda Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Bo Song<sup>1</sup>, Satyaki Ganguly<sup>1</sup>, Josh Bergman<sup>2</sup>, Debdeep Jena<sup>1</sup> and

Huili Grace Xing<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA <sup>2</sup>Teledyne Scientific & Imaging, LLC, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360, USA \*Email: zhu1@nd.edu

GaN HEMTs with lattice matched  $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$  barrier have been extensively studied for high power and high speed applications in recent years. In principle, enhancement mode (E-mode) operation is more challenging for InAlN barrier HEMTs than AlGaN barrier HEMTs due to the high 2DEG concentration, large spontaneous polarization charge and thin barrier thicknesses in InAlN HEMTs. As a means to reduce the gate leakage, ALD  $Al_2O_3$  is usually inserted as gate dielectric; however, it has been also reported to induce a positive sheet charge at the interface with the III-nitrides [1], whose magnitude is comparable to the net polarization charge at the HEMT barrier-channel interface thus making it even more challenging to realize E-mode. On the other hand, negative charges can be introduced by fluorine containing plasma treatments [2] [3], and E-mode operation can be potentially obtained even with thick gate dielectric layers. For thin InAlN barrier MOS-HEMTs, there have been very few reports on the performance of E-mode operation due to the challenges mentioned above. In this work, a detailed study on threshold voltage control and electron transport properties using F-plasma treatments is presented.

The HEMT layer structure (Fig. 1) consists of 5 nm  $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$  barrier, 1 nm AlN interlayer, GaN channel and 1.8 µm semi-insulating GaN buffer on SiC substrate grown by metal organic chemical vapor deposition. Alloyed ohmic contacts were formed first, followed by isolation of devices using ion implantation. The devices have 25 nm SiN<sub>x</sub> passivation in the access regions. The CF<sub>4</sub> plasma at a low power in a reactive ion etching (RIE) system is used to define the gate regions through SiN<sub>x</sub>, followed by various CF<sub>4</sub> plasma treatments at different plasma power for the same duration of 3 min. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gate dielectric of 16 nm was subsequently deposited in an atomic layer deposition (ALD) system. The devices were finally annealed at 400 °C for 5 min after gate metallization.

In Fig. 2, family I-Vs and transfer I-Vs for the MOSHEMTs without and with F plasma treatments are plotted. By linear extrapolation of  $I_d$  near peak  $g_m$ , the threshold voltages are determined as -2.8 V and 0.8 V, respectively. The off-state leakage currents are below  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  A/mm. Both types of devices show very small hysteresis (0.02 V and 0.15 V). Representative pulsed  $I_{d}$ -V<sub>ds</sub> curves using 300 ns pulse widths for both devices are plotted in Fig. 3, where negligible dispersion is seen, indicating adequate passivation of the access regions and dielectric/barrier interfaces.  $V_{th}$  of MOSHEMTs treated under different plasma powers is plotted in Fig. 4a as a function of ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> thickness  $t_{ox}$ , which shows a linear dependence on  $t_{ox}$  after post gate annealing while the slope depends on the plasma power. The dependence of  $V_{th}$  on  $t_{ox}$  is explained by the schematic energy band diagram shown in Fig. 4b. The total shift in  $V_{th}$  can be lumped into two contributions: the band bending in the gate oxide (depending on  $Q_{it}+Q_F$  thus on the fitted slope in Fig.4a) and the band bending in InAlN due to F treatment (independent of  $t_{ox}$  but dependent on the intercept of the fitted lines in Fig.4a). These two contributions are extracted and shown in Fig. 5a. With a high plasma power of 100 W, the equivalent interface charge density  $O_{it}+O_F$  is reduced from 2.84x10<sup>13</sup> cm<sup>-</sup>  $^{2}$  to 2.11x10<sup>13</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, approaching the spontaneous polarization charge density of GaN (Q<sub>GaN</sub>), when the flat band condition is expected in the oxide. However, with the  $Q_{it}+Q_F$  higher than  $Q_{GaN}$ , the reversal of the electric field direction in the oxide is not observed in this experiment, which is necessary to achieve Emode operation with increasing oxide thickness. The field-effect mobility as a function of 2DEG density and plasma power is extracted using the split C-V technique (Fig. 5b). The electron mobility for the Dmode MOSHEMT has a peak value of 1180 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs near  $7x10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> and decreases with increasing 2DEG density due to electron-electron scattering. For the higher power plasma treatments, the maximum mobility decreases and is  $\sim$ 540 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs near 8x10<sup>12</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> for the E-mode MOSHEMT. This, together with the higher hysteresis in the E-mode MOSHEMT compared with that of the D-mode, suggests that further increase of plasma powers may not be desirable for improved device performance.

Acknowledgement: this work is supported by the DARPA MPC program.

## References

[1] S. Ganguly, et al., "Presence and origin of interface charges at atomic-layer deposited Al2O3/III-nitride heterojunctions", *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, Vol. 99, pp. 193504, November 2011.

[2] R. Wang, et al., "Enhancement-Mode Si3N4/AlGaN/GaN MISHFETs", *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, Vol. 27, pp. 793, October 2006.

[3] F. Medjdoub, et al., "Effect of fluoride plasma treatment on InAlN/GaN HEMTs", Electron. Lett., Vol. 44, No. 11, May 2008



Figure 1: Cross section schematic and process flow of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/InAlN/GaN MOSHEMTs.



Figure 2: (a)  $I_d$ - $V_{ds}$  and transfer I-Vs in linear (b) and semi-log(c) scale of MOSHEMTs without and with F treatment (at 100 W for 3 min). Both devices have a gate length of  $2\mu$ m gate lengths and a S-D distance of  $5\mu$ m.



Figure 3: Pulsed  $I_d$ - $V_{ds}$  behavior of MOSHEMTs without (a) and with (b) F treatment.



Figure 4: (a) Threshold voltages  $V_{th}$  of MOSHEMTs versus the gate oxide thickness and CF<sub>4</sub> plasma power before and after post-gate annealing. (b) Band diagrams illustrating the more negative  $V_{th}$  with increasing oxide thickness.



Figure 5: (a) Total equivalent interface charge  $Q_{it}+Q_F$  and  $V_{th}$  shift due to F treatment extracted from Fig. 4. (b) Field-effect mobility of electrons extracted by the split C-V method from MOSHEMTs with 20 µm gate lengths.