Heavy-ion and laser-induced transients in SiGe channel *p*MOSFETs

<u>En Xia Zhang</u>^{a,} Isaak K. Samsel^a, Erik D. Funkhouser^a, William G. Bennett^a, Nicholas C. Hooten^a, Michael W. McCurdy^a, Daniel M. Fleetwood^a, Robert A. Reed^a, Michael L. Alles^a, Ronald D. Schrimpf^a, Robert A. Weller^a, Dimtri Linten^b, and Jerome Mitard^b

^a Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department, Vanderbilt University, USA, enxia.zhang@vanderbilt.edu, ^bimec, Kapeldreef 75, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

SiGe-channel pFETs are leading candidates for high-mobility channel applications due to their (a) excellent V_{TH} controllability at thin equivalent oxide thickness (EOT) [1], (b) excellent reliability when combined with a Si capping layer and high-K dielectric stack [2-5] and (c) CMOS compatibility [4-5]. It is therefore important to study single-event radiation effects on SiGe-channel devices, in advance of their anticipated wide-scale commercial implementation, and their eventual use in space systems.

In this work, 14.3 MeV O^{6+} and backside two-photon absorption (TPA) laser irradiation are used to investigate single-event charge collection in SiGe channel *p*MOSFETs. Heavy-ion and TPA laser data show that the single-event transient (SET) pulse polarity can depend on the location of the strike along the device channel, which differs from SETs in Si-based CMOS devices. The drain bias can significantly affect the total amount of collected charge and peak current values of the SETs in the tested devices.

The SiGe_{0.45} *p*MOSFETs were fabricated on an *n*-type 300-mm Si wafer with a 4.0 nm SiGe_{0.45} layer deposited onto a 2.0 nm Si buffer. A 1.4 nm Si cap was partially oxidized, yielding an unconsumed 1.0 nm thick Si cap layer to passivate the SiGe_{0.45} surface and improve the interface quality [2-5]. On top of the SiO₂ interfacial layer (IL), a ~1.5 nm HfO₂ layer and TiN metal gate were deposited [3,4]. The EOT of the gate dielectric stack is 1.5 nm. A sketch of the device is shown in Fig. 1, and the schematic band diagram in inversion is shown in Fig. 2. The dimensions of the tested devices are $W \times L = 10 \ \mu\text{m} \times 10 \ \mu\text{m}$, 1 $\mu\text{m} \times 1 \ \mu\text{m}$, 1 $\mu\text{m} \times 0.12 \ \mu\text{m}$, and 1 $\mu\text{m} \times 0.04 \ \mu\text{m}$.

TPA occurs when two photons are simultaneously absorbed to create a single electron-hole pair [6,7]. SETs were measured using the experimental setup shown in Fig. 3. The DUTs were mounted in high-speed packages that were fixed on an *xyz* stage with 0.1- μ m resolution. Details concerning the TPA laser setup and spot size measurement are in [8]. The 14.3 MeV O⁶⁺ experiments were performed using a Pelletron accelerator. During the experiment, the high speed packaged DUT was mounted in the vacuum chamber under the ion beam. The same electronics setup as for the TPA experiment was used to visualize and record the transients. The trigger channel was set on the drain with a threshold voltage of 2 mV. A semiconductor parameter analyzer, HP4156A, was used for DC bias during the experiment, as well as the I-V characterization before and after transient testing.

Fig. 4 shows typical laser induced transients at a struck drain in a SiGe channel *p*MOSFET with a dimension of $W \times L = 1 \ \mu m \times 0.12 \ \mu m$ under a bias condition of $V_D = -1 \ V$ and $V_G = V_S = V_{Body} = 0 \ V$. Fig. 5 shows the peak currents at the drain and source terminals as a function of the laser pulse location in the devices during TPA irradiation. The polarities of the transients are reversed for drain and source strikes, which does not typically occur for SETs in CMOS devices with Si channels. Similar SET pulse polarity inversion was seen for all channel lengths tested, from 10 μ m to 40 nm. SET peak current magnitudes are ~ 3× greater for drain strikes than source strikes. We attribute the polarity inversion of the SET pulses in these SiGe *p*MOSFETs to a reduction in potential of the struck node due to the IR drop in the resistive Si layer that underlies the blanket well implant of these test devices, as we will discuss at ISDRS.

Figs. 6 and 7 show 14.3 MeV O⁶⁺-induced transient peak current values from the gate, drain, source, and body terminals during negative and positive triggering, respectively. During ion irradiation, individual strike locations are randomized. Consistent with laser TPA results, large negative drain transients occur for negative triggering and positive drain transients for positive triggering. Fig. 8 shows the average peak SET values as a function of drain bias for the transients captured during heavy ion exposure with all other terminals grounded. The peak currents have a strong drain bias dependence for both the negative and positive triggering. Fig. 9 shows the collected charge as a function of drain bias during the heavy-ion exposure for a SiGe *p*MOSFET with dimensions of $W \times L = 1 \ \mu m \times 0.12 \ \mu m$ with all the other terminals grounded. A much weaker dependence on drain bias is observed for the collected charge.

In conclusion, we have measured SETs in SiGe channel pMOSFETs. We find a pulse polarity inversion for strikes near the source, which we attribute to voltage drops in the Si body that underlies the blanket *n*-well implant. The transient peak current increases with increasing drain bias magnitude, but the total collected charge is nearly independent of drain bias for the devices and experimental conditions of this work. We will compare these results to SETs in Si-based CMOS devices at the ISDRS.



Fig. 1.Schematic diagram of the SiGe channel MOSFET with raised SiGe source/drain region in this work. The material for the channel is SiGe_{0.45}, with SiGe_{0.25} for the source/drain. After [3].



Fig. 4. SET captured during TPA experiment for a SiGe *p*MOSFET with a dimension of $W \times L = 1$ μ m x 0.12 μ m with the bias condition of V_D = -1 V and $V_G = V_S = V_B = 0$ V.



Fig. 7. Positive triggering peak current for gate, drain, source, and body for 14.3 MeV O⁶⁴ irradiation, for the same device with the same bias condition as in Fig. 6.



TiN Si SiGe Si SiO₂ HfO₂

Fig. 2. Band diagram in inversion for SiGe PFETs in this paper. After [4].



Fig. 5. Peak current on drain and source vs. the laser location during TPA irradiation for the device of Fig. 4 with the same bias condition.



Fig. 8. Average peak current as a function of drain bias during heavy ion exposure for a SiGe pMOSFET with a dimension of $W \times L = 1$ μ m×0.12 μ m with all the other terminals grounded ($V_G = V_S = V_B = 0$ V).



Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup used to record transients in SiGe MOSFETs during TPA experiments. A 12 GHz TDS6124C oscilloscope with 50 Ω input impedance was used to visualize and record the transients. The laser beam was focused using a 100× microscope objective to a charge generation spot size of approximately $1.2 \ \mu m$. Laser pulses with a wavelength of 1260 nm and a normal pulse width of 150 fs at a repetition rate of 1 kHz were used; the oscilloscope trigger was synchronized with the laser signal.



Fig. 6. Negative triggering peak current for the devices of Figs. 4 and 5, exposed to 14.3 MeV O^{6+} irradiation; the biases are $V_D = -1$ V and $V_G =$ $V_S = V_B = 0$ V.



Fig. 9. Collected charge as a function of drain bias during the heavy ion exposure for a SiGe pMOSFET with a dimension of $W \times L = 1$ µm×0.12 µm with all the other terminals grounded ($V_G = V_S = V_B = 0$ V).

References

- [1] J. Mitard, L. Witters, M. Garcia Bardon, et al., "High-mobility 0.85 nm-EOT Si_{0.45} Ge_{0.55}-pFETs: Delivering high performance at scaled VDD," in Proc. IEDM, 2010, pp. 249-252.
- [2] J. Franco, B. Kaczer, M. Cho, et.al., "Improvements of NBTI reliability in SiGe p-FETs," in Proc. IEEE IRPS, 2010, pp. 1082–1085.
- [3] J. Mitard, L. Witters, G. Eneman, et al., "85 nm-wide 1.5 mA/µm-ION IFQW SiGe-pFET: Raised vs. embedded Si_{0.75}Ge_{0.25} S/D benchmarking and in-depth hole transport study," 2012 Symposium on VLSI Technology Digest of Technical Papers, pp. 163-164, 2012.
- [4] J. Franco, B. Kaczer, P. J. Roussel, et al., "SiGe channel technology: Superior reliability toward ultrathin EOT devices-Part I: NBTI" IEEE Trans. Electron Dev., vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 396-404, Jan. 2013.
- [5] L. Witters, J. Mitard, A. Veloso, et al., "Dual-channel technology with cap-free single metal gate for high performance CMOS in gate-first and gate-last integration," in Proc. IEDM, 2011, pp. 654-657.
- [6] D. McMorrow, W. T. Lotshaw, J. S. Melinger, S. Buchner, and R. L. Pease, "Sub-bandgap laser-induced single event effects: Carrier generation via two-photon absorption," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 3002-3008, Dec. 2002.
- [7] F. El-Mamouni, E. X. Zhang, N. D. Pate, et al., "Laser- and heavy ion-induced charge collection in bulk FinFETs," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 2563-2569, Dec. 2011.
- N. C. Hooten, W. G. Bennett, L. D. Edmonds, J. A. Kozub, R. A. Reed, R. D. Schrimpf, and R. A. Weller, "The impact of depletion region potential modulation on ion-induced current transient response," IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., in press, 2013.