Student Paper

Improved Properties of Atomic Layer Deposited HfO₂ Dielectrics on GaSb Substrates with NH₄F Treatment

Lianfeng Zhao^a, Zhen Tan^a, Libin Liu^a, Jing Wang^a and Jun Xu^a

^a Institute of Microelectronics, Tsinghua University, P. R. China, junxu@tsinghua.edu.cn

III-V compound semiconductor materials have become increasingly important alternative channel materials to silicon, due to the high carrier mobility [1]. GaSb is especially favorable for the p-type MOS application, because of its high hole mobility [2]. However, high interface trap density (Dit) has limited the wide use of III-V MOS devices [3]. Many methods have been proposed to improve the interfacial properties of III-V MOS devices [4,5]. In this work, we investigated the effects of NH₄F solution treatment on the interfacial and electrical properties of HfO₂/GaSb gate stacks. It is found that NH₄F solution treatment is superior to the traditional (NH₄)₂S solution treatment.

Starting substrates were Te-doped (100)-oriented n-type GaSb wafers with a doping concentration of $\sim 10^{17}$ cm⁻³. The substrates were first degreased by sequential immersion for 5 min each in acetone, ethanol, and isopropanol, and then cleaned with 9% HCl for 1 min. After that, surface passivation treatments were performed using 20% NH₄F solution for 4 min. Control samples were treated with 20% (NH₄)₂S solution. An HfO₂ dielectric layer (~5.5 nm) was atomic-layer-deposited on GaSb substrates at 200 °C with Tetrakis(ethylmethylamino)hafnium (TEMAH) and water as precursors. Finally, Al was evaporated and patterned to form MOS capacitors (MOSCAPs). Back metal contacts of Ti/Au were also deposited. High-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed to confirm the effects of fluorine passivation. Capacitance-voltage (C-V), conductance-voltage (G-V) and gate leakage current-voltage (J-V) characteristics were recorded using an Agilent B1500A semiconductor device analyzer and a Cascade Summit 11000 AP probe system.

Fig. 1(a) and (b) show XPS F 1s spectra of the GaSb surfaces passivated with $(NH_4)_2S$ solution and NH₄F solution, respectively. The F 1s peak, which cannot be observed in the spectrum of the samples treated with $(NH_4)_2S$ solution, is visible at ~684 eV for the samples passivated with NH₄F solution. This indicates that the surface is passivated by fluorine effectively. Fig. 2 shows the multi-frequency C-V characteristics of GaSb MOSCAPs passivated with $(NH_4)_2S$ and NH₄F solutions. The frequency dispersion in the accumulation region is reduced significantly by passivation with the NH₄F solution instead of the $(NH_4)_2S$ solution, which indicates that the interfacial properties are greatly improved using the NH₄F solution [6]. The gate leakage current density for samples treated with NH₄F solution is reduced by nearly two orders of magnitude, compared with that of samples treated with $(NH_4)_2S$ solution, as shown in Fig. 3. Dit distribution is also determined using the conductance method, as plotted in Fig. 4. Compared with the samples treated with $(NH_4)_2S$ solution, Dit is reduced by 31% for the samples treated with NH₄F solution (see Fig. 2). The reduced Dit may be attributed to the reduction of dangling bonds, which are passivated by fluorine.

In summary, surface passivation with NH_4F solution was found to be a promising method to improve the properties of GaSb MOS devices. Frequency dispersion, gate leakage current and Dit are all reduced for samples treated with NH_4F solution, compared with those treated with $(NH_4)_2S$ solution.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the State Key Development Program for Basic Research of China (No. 2011CBA00602) and by the National Science and Technology Major Project (No. 2011ZX02708-002).

References

[1] J. A. del Alamo, *Nature*, vol. 479, pp. 317-323, 2011.

[2] Z. Yuan, A. Nainani, B. R. Bennett, J. B. Boos, M. G. Ancona, and K. C. Saraswat, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 100, pp. 143503-4, 2012.

[3] A. Ali, H. S. Madan, A. P. Kirk, D. A. Zhao, D. A. Mourey, M. K. Hudait, R. M. Wallace, T. N. Jackson, B. R. Bennett, J. B. Boos, and S. Datta, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 97, pp. 143502-3, 2010.

[4] X. Wang, L. Dong, J. Zhang, Y. Liu, P. D. Ye, and R. G. Gordon, *Nano Letters*, vol. 13, pp. 594-599, 2012.

[5] Y.-T. Chen, H. Zhao, Y. Wang, F. Xue, F. Zhou, and J. C. Lee, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 96, pp. 253502-3, 2010.

[6] S. Stemmer, V. Chobpattana, and S. Rajan, Applied Physics Letters, vol. 100, pp. 233510-4, 2012.



Fig. 1. XPS F 1s spectra of GaSb MOSCAPs passivated with (a) (NH₄)₂S solutions and (b) NH₄F solutions.



Fig. 2. Multi-frequency C-V characteristics of GaSb MOSCAPs passivated with (a) $(NH_4)_2S$ solutions and (b) NH_4F solutions.



Fig. 3. Comparison of gate leakage current characteristics of MOSCAPs passivated with $(NH_4)_2S$ and NH_4F solutions.



Fig. 4. Dit distributions of MOSCAPs passivated with $(NH_4)_2S$ and NH_4F solutions.